

The Editorial Board of SIMP

Editor: Gisa Jähnichen, (Prof. Dr., Shanghai Conservatory of Music)
gisajaehnichen@web.de

Editorial Board:

- Justin Hunter (PhD, University of Arkansas) jrhunte@uark.edu
- Rinko Fujita (PhD, University Vienna) rinko.fujita@gmail.com
- Margaret Kartomi (Prof. Dr., Monash University) margaret.kartomi@monash.edu
- Timkehet Teffera (PhD, Humboldt University) timkehet.teffera@mdc-berlin.de
- Don Niles (Prof. Dr. IPNGS, Papua New Guinea) dniles.ipngs@gmail.com
- Chinthaka P. Meddegoda (PhD, UVPA, Colombo, Sri Lanka)
chinthaka.pm@vpa.ac.lk

[The official email address of SIMP is SIMP-newseries@mail.com]

agrees to the following

CODE OF ETHICS

Publication ethics and malpractice statement for SIMP (New Series)

1. Publication, authorship and author's responsibilities

SIMP (New Series) is a double-blind, peer-reviewed journal being published biannually. Papers in whatever research tradition must report new scientific findings. They should knowledgeably present the findings, give correct and complete references to previous related work by the authors and by others (citations), repeat previously published findings only inasmuch as it is necessary for understanding the context, and in a clearly identified form.

Authors of scientific publications are always jointly responsible for their content. A so-called "honorary authorship" is inadmissible.

With this definition of authorship, other contributions, even though seemingly significant are not by themselves regarded sufficient to justify authorship. For example, the following are not grounds to claim authorship:

- the responsibility for obtaining the funds for the research,
- the contribution of important materials,
- the training of co-authors in certain methods,
- involvement in the collection and assembly of data,
- directing an institution or working unit in which the publication originates.

However, institutions, organisations, or private persons funding or otherwise assisting the author's research have to be acknowledged in the text of the contribution.

Authors are obliged to participate in the peer-review process, to provide retractions or corrections of mistakes and to state that all data in article are real and authentic. In papers with multiple authors, all authors have to state that they have significantly contributed to the research.

Authors have to list all references used in the paper. This includes written and audiovisual sources. Plagiarism or any use of fraudulent data will lead to rejection from publication. In the process of editing, detection software is used to help identify such issues. With respect to the originality of papers published, it is strictly forbidden to publish the same paper in more than one journal or book series at a time.

By making a submission for consideration, the author(s) agree on all the statements above.

2. Responsibility for the reviewers and editors

Reviewers and editors of submitted manuscripts are bound to respect confidentiality and to disclose conflicts of interest with respect to the research, the authors, and/or the research funders.

Judgments should be objective, constructive and based on best scientific practice. Reviewers should recommend relevant published work, including audiovisual materials, which are not cited.

Reviewers must agree to respond to a submitted manuscript within 6-8 weeks.

Editors have complete responsibility and authority to accept or reject an article, based on the recommendations of the reviewers. Editors should recommend a submission for publication, suggest that further revisions be made, or reject it outright. Editors must preserve the anonymity of reviewers. When a paper is accepted for publication, editors and reviewers must agree on the statements above.

3. Publishing ethics issues

The editorial board will monitor ethics of publication, following the rules and practices mentioned by COPE. Papers submitted that cause ethical conflicts through plagiarism, fraudulent data, or issues that endanger the integrity of any academic record, will be rejected and the authors excluded from further publication in the series. Rejection of a paper follows the reviewers' recommendations and a majority decision by the editorial board. In the same way, the editorial board precludes business needs from compromising intellectual and ethical standards.

The editorial board will publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies, if necessary. Authors and readers are invited to raise any ethical concerns with the board.

Berlin/Shanghai, 1 September, 2019.